

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 06 2005

MAIL STOP AF
RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED

PATENT
2134-022

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : Confirmation No. 6844
Michael WOLLITZER : Art Unit: 2829
Serial No.: 10/088,591 : Examiner: Tung X. Nguyen
Filed: July 29, 2002 :
For: MEASURING PROBE FOR MEASURING HIGH FREQUENCIES (as amended)

Sir:

Attached is a REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION in the above-identified application.


- ☒ No additional fee is required.
☐ Small entity status of this application has been established.
☐ Also attached:

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Total Claims	20	20	0	x \$ 50 =	\$ 0.00
Independent Claims	2	3	0	x \$ 200 =	\$ 0.00
TOTAL FEE DUE					\$ 0.00

- ☐ Credit card authorization form is attached.
☒ If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any required fees not otherwise paid, including application processing, extra claims, and extension fees, to Deposit Account No. 07-1337.

Respectfully submitted,
LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP


Allan M. Lowe, #19,641

Filed: June 6, 2005
USPTO Customer No. 22429
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 684-1111 Telephone
(703) 518-5499 Telecopier
AML:rk

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 06 2005

MAIL STOP AF
RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED

PATENT
2134-022

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : Confirmation No. 6844
Michael WOLLITZER : Art Unit: 2829
Serial No.: 10/088,591 : Examiner: Tung X. Nguyen
Filed: July 29, 2002 :
For: MEASURING PROBE FOR MEASURING HIGH FREQUENCIES (*as amended*)

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 6, 2005

Sir:

The following is responsive to the final Office Action mailed April 6, 2005, in connection with the above-identified application.

Claims 1-20 are pending, of which claims 1 and 9 are independent. Claims 9-20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected subject matter. While claims 10-17 depend directly or indirectly on claim 9, claims 2-8 and 18-20 depend directly or indirectly on claim 1. The withdrawal from consideration of claims 18-20 is improper. No adequate rationale is set forth in the Office Action for withdrawal of these claims, and issuance of a new Office Action that considers claims 18-20 is in order.